2015-2016 Annual Assessment Report Template

For instructions and guidelines visit our <u>website</u> or <u>contact us</u> for more help.

	Report: BA Music
Que	estion 1: Program Learning Outcomes
Q1.1 Which asses	n of the following Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals (BLGs) did you ss? [Check all that apply]
<u> </u>	I. Critical Thinking
	2. Information Literacy
✓ (3. Written Communication
	1. Oral Communication
□ i	5. Quantitative Literacy
\Box	b. Inquiry and Analysis
-	7. Creative Thinking
	3. Reading
	9. Team Work
<u> </u>	10. Problem Solving
<u> </u>	1. Civic Knowledge and Engagement
· []	2. Intercultural Knowledge and Competency
<u> </u>	13. Ethical Reasoning
<u> </u>	4. Foundations and Skills for Lifelong Learning
	15. Global Learning
· []	6. Integrative and Applied Learning
<u> </u>	17. Overall Competencies for GE Knowledge
 ✓ 	8. Overall Competencies in the Major/Discipline
	19. Other, specify any assessed PLOs not included above:
а.	
b.	
C.	

Q1.2.

Please provide more detailed background information about **EACH PLO** you checked above and other information such as how your specific PLOs are **explicitly** linked to the Sac State BLGs:

Assessment was undertaken to revise the lower and upper-division major requirements in Music History and Literature. Specifically, revisions emphasized development of formal writing and research within the major discipline. The BA Music PLO most closely aligned is: Demonstrate the ability to synthesize general knowledge of musical form, processes, and structures and to speak or write with knowledge and articulate confidence about music.

Q1.2.1. Do you have rubrics for your PLOs?	
 1. Yes, for all PLOs 	
 2. Yes, but for some PLOs 	
O 3. No rubrics for PLOs	
O 4. N/A	
O 5. Other, specify:	
Q1.3. Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university? ● 1. Yes ○ 2. No ○ 3. Don't know	
Q1.4. Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC Senior College and University Commission (WSCUC))?	
Q1.4.1. If the answer to Q1.4 is yes , are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agence 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	y?
Q1.5. Did your program use the <i>Degree Qualification Profile</i> (DQP) to develop your PLO(s)? ○ 1. Yes ● 2. No, but I know what the DQP is	

- 3. No, I don't know what the DQP is
- O 4. Don't know
- Q1.6.

Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable?

- 1. Yes
- O _{2. No}
- O 3. Don't know

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 2: Standard of Performance for the Selected PLO

Q2.1.

Select **ONE(1)** PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you *checked the correct box* for this PLO in Q1.1):

Written Communication

Q2.1.1.

Please provide more background information about the **specific PLO** you've chosen in Q2.1.

Previous assessment data had demonstrated a lack of appropriate expertise in formal writing assignments. We looked a papers from several classes, revised expectations, and saw a satisfactory improvement in written communication that has inspired additional curricular changes and a scaffolded approach to writing within the disciipline.

Q2.2.

Has the program developed or adopted explicit standards of performance for this PLO?

- O 1. Yes
- 2. No
- O 3. Don't know
- 0 4. N/A

Q2.3.

Please **provide the rubric(s)** and **standards of performance** that you have developed for this PLO here or in the appendix.

U No file attached U No file attached	

Q2.4. PLO	Q2.5. Stdrd	Q2.6. Rubric	Please indicate where you have published the PLO , the standard of performance, and the rubric that was used to measure the PLO:
			1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO
			2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO
			3. In the student handbook/advising handbook
			4. In the university catalogue
			5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters
~			6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources, or activities
-			7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university
 ✓ 			8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents
~			9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation documents
			10. Other, specify:

Question 3: Data Collection Methods and Evaluation of Data Quality for the Selected PLO

Q3.1.

Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected PLO?

• 1. Yes

2. No (skip to Q6)

3. Don't know (skip to Q6)

• 4. N/A (skip to Q6)

Q3.1.1. How many assessment tools/methods/measures in total did you use to assess this PLO? Don't know

03.2

Was the data scored/evaluated for this PLO?

• 1. Yes

○ 2. No (skip to Q6)

3. Don't know (skip to Q6)

• 4. N/A (skip to **Q6**)

Q3.2.1.

Please describe how you collected the assessment data for the selected PLO. For example, in what course(s) or by what means were data collected:

A variety of written work from MUSC 10A, 10B, 110A, and 110B was evaluated.

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 3A: Direct Measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, etc.)

Q3.3.

Were direct measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, course work, student tests, etc.) used to assess this PLO? • 1. Yes

O 2. No (skip to Q3.7)

3. Don't know (skip to Q3.7)

Q3.3.1.

Which of the following direct measures were used? [Check all that apply]	
1. Capstone project (e.g. theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences	
2. Key assignments from required classes in the program	

□ 3. Key assignments from elective classes

4. Classroom based performance assessment such as simulations, comprehensive exams, or critiques

5. External performance assessments such as internships or other community-based projects

https://sharepoint.csus.edu/aa/programassessment/ layouts/Print.FormServer.aspx

6. E-Portfolios					
7. Other Portfolios					
8. Other, specify: Research symposium presentations					
Q3.3.2.					
Please explain and attach the direct measure you used to collect data:					
U No file attached U No file attached					
Q3.4. What tool was used to evaluate the data?					
\bigcirc 1. No rubric is used to interpret the evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.)					
2. Used rubric developed/modified by the faculty who teaches the class (skip to Q3.4.2.)					
\bigcirc 3. Used rubric developed/modified by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.)					
4. Used rubric pilot-tested and refined by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.)					
5. The VALUE rubric(s) (skip to Q3.4.2.)					
 6. Modified VALUE rubric(s) (skip to Q3.4.2.) 					
7. Used other means (Answer Q3.4.1.)					
Q3.4.1.					
If you used other means, which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply]					
\square 1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.4.)					
\square 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.4.)					
 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.4.) 4. Other, specify: (s 	kip to Q3.4.4 .)				
4. Other, specify.	Kip to Q3.4.4 .)				
Q3.4.2. Was the rubric aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO?					
O _{1. Yes}					
O 2. No					
O 3. Don't know					
• 4. N/A					
Q3.4.3. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the rubric?					
O 1. Yes					
O 2. No					
O 3. Don't know					
• 4. N/A					

Q3.4.4.

Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO?

O 1. Yes

O 2. No

O 3. Don't know

• 4. N/A

Q3.5.

How many faculty members participated in planning the assessment data collection of the selected PLO?

4

Q3.5.1.

How many faculty members participated in the evaluation of the assessment data for the selected PLO?



Q3.5.2.

If the data was evaluated by multiple scorers, was there a norming process (a procedure to make sure everyone was scoring similarly)?

O 1. Yes

O 2. No

O 3. Don't know

• 4. N/A

Q3.6.

How did you select the sample of student work (papers, projects, portfolios, etc.)?

One complete set of papers were evaluated from each of the courses mentioned above. Work was chosen from the final weeks of the term so that we were getting the most formative papers from that class.

Q3.6.1. How did you decide how many samples of student work to review?



https://sharepoint.csus.edu/aa/programassessment/ layouts/Print.FormServer.aspx

How many students were in the class or program?

20-40 depending on class

Q3.6.3.

How many samples of student work did you evaluated? One complete set of papers from each class.

Q3.6.4.

Was the sample size of student work for the direct measure adequate?

• 1. Yes

O 2. No

3. Don't know

(Remember: Save your progress) Question 3B: Indirect Measures (surveys, focus groups, interviews, etc.)

Q3.7.

Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO?

O 1. Yes

2. No (skip to Q3.8)

3. Don't Know (skip to Q3.8)

Q3.7.1.

Which of the following indirect measures were used? [Check all that apply]

1. National student surveys (e.g. NSSE)

2. University conducted student surveys (e.g. OIR)

3. College/department/program student surveys or focus groups

4. Alumni surveys, focus groups, or interviews

 \Box 5. Employer surveys, focus groups, or interviews

6. Advisory board surveys, focus groups, or interviews

7. Other, specify:

Q3.7.1.1.

Please explain and attach the indirect measure you used to collect data:

In No file attached
In No file attached

Q3.7.2.

If surveys were used, how was the sample size decided?

Q3.7.3. If surveys were used, how did you select your sample:

Q3.7.4.

If surveys were used, what was the response rate?

Question 3C:	Other	Measures	(external	benchmarking,	licensing	exams,
standardized	tests,	etc.)				

Q3.8.

Were external benchmarking data, such as licensing exams or standardized tests, used to assess the PLO?

- O 1. Yes
- 2. No (skip to Q3.8.2)
- 3. Don't Know (skip to **Q3.8.2**)

Q3.8.1.

Which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply]	
1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams	
\Box 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.)	
\Box 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.)	
4. Other, specify:	
2 9 2	

Q3.8.2.

Were other measures used to assess the PLO?

- O 1. Yes
- O 2. No (skip to Q4.1)
- 3. Don't know (skip to Q4.1)

Q3.8.3.

If other measures were used, please specify:

In No file attached In the second second

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 4: Data, Findings, and Conclusions

Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summarize the assessment data, findings, and conclusions for the selected PLO for Q2.1:

In the state of In No file attached

Q4.2.

Are students doing well and meeting the program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student performance of the selected PLO?

Yes. We are pleased with the improvements shown in writing samples as a result of our revised curriculum.

Q4.3.

For the selected PLO, the student performance:

In the second second

- 0 1. Exceeded expectation/standard
- 2. Met expectation/standard
- O 3. Partially met expectation/standard
- O 4. Did not meet expectation/standard
- \bigcirc 5. No expectation/standard has been specified
- 6. Don't know

In the second second

Question 4A: Alignment and Quality

Q4.4.

Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO?

O 1. Yes

O 2. No

3. Don't know

Q4.5.

Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO?

• 1. Yes

O 2. No

O 3. Don't know

Question 5: Use of Assessment Data (Closing the Loop)

Q5.1.

As a result of the assessment effort and based on prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate *making any changes* for your program (e.g. course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)?

• 1. Yes

O 2. No (skip to Q5.2)

3. Don't know (skip to Q5.2)

Q5.1.1.

Please describe *what changes* you plan to make in your program as a result of your assessment of this PLO. Include a description of how you plan to assess the impact of these changes.

We have revised the curriculum to emphasize development writing and research skills.

Q5.1.2.

Do you have a plan to assess the impact of the changes that you anticipate making?

- 1. Yes
- O 2. No

Q5.2.

O 3. Don't know

1. Very Much	2. Quite a Bit	3. Some	4. Not at All	5. N/A
0	۲	0	0	\bigcirc
\bigcirc	\bigcirc	۲	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
\bigcirc	۲	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
0	0	۲	0	0
	,	Much a Bit	Very Much Quite a Bit Some O Image: Constraint of the second seco	Very Much Quite a Bit Some Not at All O Image: Constraint of the second s

https://sharepoint.csus.edu/aa/programassessment/ layouts/Print.FormServer.aspx

5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	۲	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
6. Developing/updating assessment plan	0	0	0	۲	\bigcirc
7. Annual assessment reports	0	0	۲	0	\bigcirc
8. Program review	0	0	0	0	۲
9. Prospective student and family information	0	0	0	0	۲
10. Alumni communication	0	0	۲	0	0
11. WSCUC accreditation (regional accreditation)	0	0	0	0	۲
12. Program accreditation	0	0	0	0	۲
13. External accountability reporting requirement	0	0	0	0	۲
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations	0	0	0	0	۲
15. Strategic planning	0	0	0	0	۲
16. Institutional benchmarking	0	0	0	0	۲
17. Academic policy development or modifications	0	0	۲	0	\bigcirc
18. Institutional improvement	0	0	0	0	۲
19. Resource allocation and budgeting	0	0	0	0	۲
20. New faculty hiring	0	0	۲	0	0
21. Professional development for faculty and staff	0	0	0	0	۲
22. Recruitment of new students	0	0	۲	0	\bigcirc
23. Other, specify:		-	-	-	

Q5.2.1.

Please provide a detailed example of how you used the assessment data above:

(Remember: Save your progress)

Additional Assessment Activities

Q6.

Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspect of their program *that are not related to the PLOs* (i.e. impacts of an advising center, etc.). If your program/academic unit has collected data on program *elements*, please briefly report your results here:

U No file attached U No file attached
Q7.
What PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year? [Check all that apply]
1. Critical Thinking
2. Information Literacy
3. Written Communication
4. Oral Communication
5. Quantitative Literacy
6. Inquiry and Analysis
7. Creative Thinking
8. Reading
9. Team Work
10. Problem Solving
11. Civic Knowledge and Engagement
12. Intercultural Knowledge and Competency
13. Ethical Reasoning
14. Foundations and Skills for Lifelong Learning
15. Global Learning
16. Integrative and Applied Learning
17. Overall Competencies for GE Knowledge
✓ 18. Overall Competencies in the Major/Discipline
19. Other, specify any PLOs not included above:
a.
b.
C.
Q8. Please attach any additional files here:
No file attached Image: No file attached Image: No file attached Image: No file attached

Q8.1.

Have you attached any files to this form? If yes, please list every attached file here:

Program Information (Required)

P1.
Program/Concentration Name(s): [by degree]
BA Music
P1.1.
Program/Concentration Name(s): [by department]
Music BA
P2.
Report Author(s):
Ernie Hills
P2.1. Department Chair/Program Director:
Ernie Hills
P2.2.
Assessment Coordinator:
Robin Fisher
P3.
Department/Division/Program of Academic Unit
Music
P4. College:
College of Arts & Letters
P5.
Total enrollment for Academic Unit during assessment semester (see Departmental Fact Book):
285
P6.
Program Type:
I. Undergraduate baccalaureate major
O 2. Credential
O 3. Master's Degree
O 4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.D./Ed.S./D.P.T./etc.)
O 5. Other, specify:

P7. Number of undergraduate degree programs the academic unit has?7

P7.1. List all the names: BA Music BM Instrument BM Voice BM Keyboard BM Jazz Studies

P7.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program? Don't know

P8. Number of master's degree programs the academic unit has?

P8.1. List all the names: MM Performance

MM Conducting

MM Composition

(form won't allow me to change drop down for P&.2, P8, P8.2, P9, p10)

P8.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master's program? Don't know

P9. Number of credential programs the academic unit has? Don't know

P9.1. List all the names:

Single Subject Music

P10. Number of doctorate degree programs the academic unit has?

P10.1. List all the names:

None

When was your assessment plan	1. Before 2010-11	2. 2011-12	3. 2012-13	4. 2013-14	5. 2014-15	6. No Plan	7. Don't know
P11. developed?	۲	0	0	0	\bigcirc	0	0
P11.1. last updated?	0	0	۲	0	0	0	0

P11.3.

Please attach your latest assessment plan:

In the second second

P12.

Has your program developed a curriculum map?

O 1. Yes

O 2. No

3. Don't know

P12.1.

Please attach your latest curriculum map:

In No file attached

P13.

Has your program indicated in the curriculum map where assessment of student learning occurs?

O 1. Yes

• 2. No

O 3. Don't know

P14.

Does your program have a capstone class?

- 1. Yes, indicate: MUSC 185
- O 2. No
- O 3. Don't know

P14.1.

Does your program have **any** capstone project?

- 1. Yes
- O 2. No
- O 3. Don't know

(Remember: Save your progress)